
GRUAN ICM-7 Collaborations in GNSS sphere with other projects

E-GVAP, COST Action GNSS4SWEC and EUREF

Dr. Rosa Pacione e-geos, ASI/CGS, Italy

Dr. Jonathan Jones Met Office, UK


Timeline of European GNSS-Met Projects



Current Status (E-GVAP)

EUMETNET

The Network of European Meteorological Services




- EIG EUMETNET Project coordinating the near real-time delivery of data from ~2400 GPS sites delivering > 14M ZTDs pcm
- Focus is on GPS-only *hourly* processing, delivering only ZTD in 90mins
- *Operational assimilation* at a few European National Met Services, many others under testing.
- Use of E-GVAP ZTDs has proven positive impact on NWP forecast skill
- Surface T and P used for conversion to Integrated precipitable Water Vapour (IWV)
- Active Quality Control (AQC) in place
- *MoUs* in place with EUREF and EUPOS

E-GVAP data flow

OBS system overview

NRT GNSS ZTD data flow Today


EUMETNET



In many cases only national AC can get access to the raw data.

Developing Met. Requirements


- ZTD only gives you integrated column total measurement from 'cone of observation'
- New hi-resolution NWP models require ZTD with improved timeliness and greater spatial and temporal resolutions than are currently available (e.g. Met Office UKV 1.5km)
- Observations providing additional information concerning tropospheric water vapour are desired (i.e. <u>vertical</u> <u>resolution</u> and azimuthal anisotropy)
- Sub-hourly processing greatly increases the usefulness of GNSS products for nowcasting and IWV displays
- Climate community only now starting to use GNSS tropospheric products (e.g. Hadley Centre)




Climatic Water Vapour Trends

- Long term model validation
 - NCEP model good seasonal and inter-annual variations but underestimation of IWV of <40% in tropics and <25% in Antarctica
- Liner IWV trends
 - Global trend: -1.65 to +2.32 kg/m² per decade
 - Global trend uncertainty: 0.21 to +1.52 kg/m² per decade

Ning 2012, GPS Meteorology with focus on Climate Applications http://publications.lib.chalmers.se/records/fulltext/157389.pdf



GNSS Developments







- Multi-GNSS constellations (GPS + GLONASS, Galileo etc...) = new SV geometries, new frequencies, increased number of observations
- Continued R&D working towards more advanced tropospheric products (slants, gradients, tomography)
- NTRIP real-time raw data streaming
- Real time PPP processing (from moving platforms?)
- Single frequency processing
- Long-term, homogenised GPS products available (EPN/IGS/CODE/others), valuable for climate analysis?

COST ACTION ES1206 GNSS4SWEC

4 year Action (2013 - 2017)


29 COST Countries

5 non-EU Countries (USA, Canada, Aus, HK, TN)


Over 100 participants from 60+ institutions

COST funds networking activities, not R&D


Dark Blue: Countries involved in Management Team Blue: Countries participating in the Action



GNSS4SWEC Working Groups



© Crown copyright Met Office



GNSS Integrated Water Vapour (IWV) assessment and intercomparisons

COST Action GNSS4SWEC WG3 (GNSS for climate monitoring)

Dr. Roeland Van Malderen Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium

> Dr. Olivier Bock LAREG IGN France

Dr. Rosa Pacione e-geos, ASI/CGS Italy



Instrument	bias [mm]	stdev [mm]	slope	offset [mm]
RS	-3.78 - 8.00	0.21 - 3.87	0.82 - 1.47	-25.95 - 11.66
MWR	-1.66 - 0.50	1.02 - 4.18	0.82 - 1.21	-1.46 - 4.60
sun photometer	-3.58 - 5.90	0.80 - 2.90	0.63 - 1.03	-3.37 - 5.70
FTIR	-0.09 - 0.61	0.73 - 1.02	0.95 - 1.06	-0.78 - 0.40
satellite	-7.05 - 1.50	0.35 - 7.04	0.75 - 2.33	-2.92 - 8.89
models	-8.70 - 5.30	0.64 - 8.08	0.66 - 2.00	-31.90 - 9.70

wide ranges!

- different types of instruments are compared with GNSS (e.g. different RS types)
- different data retrieval algorithms for a given instrument at different sites
- inhomogeneous data records at many sites
- different studies apply different methodologies (different co-location and coincidence criteria, different definitions of statistical parameters, etc.)

GNSS IWV assessments & intercomparisons

- objectives:
 - evaluate the precision and accuracy of the GNSS IWV estimates
 - → sensitivity studies (impact of GNSS data processing procedure)
 - → intercomparisons with reference data (campaign data over short periods)
 - assess homogeneity of long GNSS time series


 \rightarrow develop homogenisation methods taking beneif of the multiple parameters available from GPS data processings (ZTD, formal errors, positions...)

 \rightarrow compare GPS ZTD/IWV to ZTD/IWV data from other instruments that are homogeneous/homogenized on long term

- work plan (2015–2016):
 - apply uniform methodology on data of "supersites" (> 3 instruments measuring IWV)
 - with **consistency in data quality** of similar instruments at different sites
 - with known data **accuracy/precision** and comprehensive metadata

=> seeking for cooperation with GRUAN and NDACC

Identified "supersites"




: GRUAN sites
 : NDACC & other sites

• GRUAN aims at providing

- High quality datasets of IWV and other meteo variables
- Validated metedata for instruments on GRUAN sites
- GNSS4SWEC aims at providing
 - High quality, screened, homogenized, GNSS data (in cooperation with EPN Repro2)
 - Validated metedata for instruments on GNSS sites
- IWV intercomparison exercise
 - Intercompare IWV data on a few sites and assess inter-system biases
 - are absolute measurements feasible?
 - Is a calibration transferable between instruments?
 - Note: questions such as absolute accuracy of GNSS IWV (and of other instruments) are central for GNSS4SWEC => special issue perspective

Sodankyla: GRUAN, EPN and E-GVAP site



- In the framework of EPN Repro2, GNSS data collected at Sodankyla has been homogeneously reprocessed
- In the framework of GNSS4SWEC WG3 Sodankyla ZTD data have been compared w.r.t. RS data

Comparison Table for the period 2008-2013 AS0: Reprocessed Solution ASIC: E-GVAP combined Solution

	ASO	ASIC
Bias [cm]	0.052	0.292
STD [cm]	0.539	0.552
СС	0.9937	0.9931

Contribution from E. Fionda Fondazione Bordoni, Rome



European Permanent Network (EPN)- Repro2

R. Pacione on behalf of the EPN Repro2 Working Group EPN-Repro2 is the second reprocessing campaign organized under the umbrella of EUREF

(International Association of Geodesy Sub-commission 1.3 -Regional Reference Frames for Europe)

Goals:

Will be a continuation of the EPN-Repro1 campaign (but now IGS08)

Response to the planned IGS repro2 campaign

Generate consistent coordinates, velocities and troposphere parameters (ZTD+Grad) in one reference frame

Support the densification of the ITRF2013



European Permanent Network

http://www.epncb.oma.be/



NYA1 and SODA EPN and GRUAN stations



EPN Repro-2: Organization

Three LACs analysed the entire EPN back to 1996
ASI - Centro di Geodesia Spaziale (GIPSY 6.2)
GOP – Geodetic Observatory Pecný (Bernese 5.2)
MUT - Military University of Technology (GAMIT 10.50)
Analysis of sub-regional network of the EPN
LPT – Swisstopo (Bernese 5.2)
IGE – Instituto Geográfico Nacional España (Bernese 5.2)
Analysis strategy agrees with the "Guidelines for

EPN Analysis Centres (released Nov. 2013)

Status:

- all individual solutions delivered
- ZTD combination effort in progress
- **ZTD** evaluation foreseen

